

118TH CONGRESS <i>1st Session</i>	}	HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES	{	REPORT 118-
--------------------------------------	---	--------------------------	---	----------------

EXPRESSING SUPPORT FOR LOCAL LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICERS AND
CONDEMNING EFFORTS TO DEFUND OR DISMANTLE LOCAL LAW EN-
FORCEMENT AGENCIES

MAY --, 2023.—Referred to the House Calendar and ordered to be printed

Mr. JORDAN, from the Committee on the Judiciary,
submitted the following

R E P O R T

together with

VIEWS

[To accompany H. Con. Res. 40]

[Including cost estimate of the Congressional Budget Office]

The Committee on the Judiciary, to whom was referred the concurrent resolution (H. Con. Res. 40) expressing support for local law enforcement officers and condemning efforts to defund or dismantle local law enforcement agencies, having considered the same, reports favorably thereon without amendment and recommends that the concurrent resolution be agreed to.

Table of Contents

Purpose and Summary	3
Background and Need for the Legislation	3
Hearings	6
Committee Consideration	6
Committee Votes	7
Committee Oversight Findings	7
New Budget Authority and Tax Expenditures	7
Congressional Budget Office Cost Estimate	8
Committee Estimate of Budgetary Effects	8
Duplication of Federal Programs	8
Performance Goals and Objectives	8
Advisory on Earmarks	8
Federal Mandates Statement	8
Advisory Committee Statement	9
Applicability to Legislative Branch	9
Section-by-Section Analysis	9
Minority Views	9

Purpose and Summary

H. Con. Res. 40, introduced by Rep. Ken Buck (R-CO), expresses support for local law enforcement officers and condemns efforts to defund or dismantle local law enforcement agencies.

Background and Need for the Legislation

Evidence strongly suggests that the June 2020 spike in homicides, violence, and other gun-related crimes was related to the reduction of policing efforts, or de-policing, in the immediate wake of the George Floyd protests.¹ At the same time, law enforcement capabilities were diminished by reduced funding, increased retirements, and, given the anti-police vitriol from politicians and activists, an unsurprising recruitment issue.² Peer-reviewed research indicates that “voluntary resignations” by police officers following the George Floyd riots increased by 279 percent relative to the expected number of resignations had those riots and the corresponding chorus of anti-police rhetoric never occurred.³

Many states and cities acted upon the dangerous “defund the police” rhetoric with predictable results. For example, Colorado created a state cause of action allowing lawsuits against law enforcement officers, which disallowed qualified immunity defenses.⁴ Less than two months later, more than 200 law enforcement officers across the state resigned or retired.⁵ The Fraternal Order of Police reported that the new legislation was the primary reason for these losses.⁶ New York City, in another example, slashed its police budget by \$1 billion.⁷ The city is still feeling the effects of this poor decision-making. In 2022, crime in New York City rose significantly when compared to the previous year. According to data from the New York Police Department (NYPD), New York City saw a 23 percent surge in major crimes.⁸

¹ See, Charles Fain Lehman, *The Minneapolis Effect* WASH. EXAMINER (June 24, 2021), <https://www.washingtonexaminer.com/politics/the-minneapolis-effect>.

² See, e.g. Neil MacFarquhar, *Departures of Police Officers Accelerated During a Year of Protests*, NY TIMES, (Jun. 11, 2021), <https://www.nytimes.com/2021/06/11/us/police-retirements-resignations-recruits.html>

³ Scott Mourtgos, Ian Adams, and Justin Nix, *Elevated police turnover following the summer of George Floyd protests: A synthetic control study*, CRIMINOLOGY & PUBLIC POLICY VOL. 21 ISS. 1 (August 26, 2021), <https://www.hoplophobia.info/wp-content/uploads/2020/12/2021-Elevated-police-turnover-following-the-summer-of-George-Floyd-protests.pdf>.

⁴ Nick Sibilla, *Colorado Passes Landmark Law Against Qualified Immunity, Creates New Way To Protect Civil Rights* (June 21, 2020), <https://www.forbes.com/sites/nicksibilla/2020/06/21/colorado-passes-landmark-law-against-qualified-immunity-creates-new-way-to-protect-civil-rights/?sh=1c3e285d378a>.

⁵ David Migoya, *More than 200 police officers have resigned or retired since Colorado’s police reform bill became law* (August 18, 2020), <https://www.denverpost.com/2020/08/18/colorado-police-resign-retire-reform-law/>.

⁶ Mark McDonald, *Police recruitment was already tough. Attacks on qualified immunity make matters worse*. (November 26, 2021), <https://fop.net/2021/11/police-recruitment-was-already-tough-attacks-on-qualified-immunity-make-matters-worse/>.

⁷ Scottie Andrew and Kristina Sgueglia, *New York Police Department’s budget has been slashed by \$1 billion*, CNN (July 1, 2020), <https://www.cnn.com/2020/07/01/us/new-york-budget-nypd-1-billion-cut-trnd/index.html>.

⁸ Dean Balsamini, *NYC murders down but major crimes surge as 2022 draws to a close*, N.Y. POST (Dec. 31, 2022), <https://nypost.com/2022/12/31/nyc-murders-down-but-major-crimes-surge-as-2022-ends/>.

The anti-police rhetoric and corresponding policy shifts against law enforcement has resulted in fewer people willing to become or remain police officers. According to a study on police turnover after the George Floyd protests conducted by the *Criminology & Public Policy Journal*, “voluntary resignations in some larger police departments in the nation may have increased by nearly 280 percent.”⁹ Smaller departments face similar challenges. In July 2022, the town of Springfield, Colorado saw its entire police force resign within a week.¹⁰ Moreover, recruiting qualified people to be police officers has proven so difficult that many cities have had to resort to significantly lowering, or eliminating, important pre-employment personal and professional standards.¹¹

Law enforcement officers place their lives in danger every day to serve our communities. Despite this selfless sacrifice by law enforcement officers, Democrats have promoted and advocated for defunding the police—which would make the jobs of law enforcement officers even more dangerous. Some of the jurisdictions that have defunded their police departments are represented by Democrat Members of the Committee on the Judiciary, while other Democrats in Congress have voiced support for defunding the police in general.¹²

Not surprisingly, jurisdictions that defunded their law enforcement saw a dramatic increase in crime. According to the Major Cities Chiefs Association (MCCA), the heightened levels of violent crime continued into 2021. The MCCA reported that violent crime in the majority of big cities across the U.S. remained high as compared to 2019, with homicides in particular increasing over 36 percent.¹³ Out of the 70 cities that the MCCA included in its data,

⁹ Scott Mourtgos, Ian Adams, and Justin Nix, *Elevated police turnover following the summer of George Floyd protests: A synthetic control study*, *CRIMINOLOGY & PUBLIC POLICY* VOL. 21 ISS. 1 (August 26, 2021), <https://www.hoplofobia.info/wp-content/uploads/2020/12/2021-Elevated-police-turnover-following-the-summer-of-George-Floyd-protests.pdf>.

¹⁰ Tony Keith, *Entire police force resigns in a small Colorado town*, KKTV (July 15, 2022 [updated July 19, 2022]), <https://www.kktv.com/2022/07/15/entire-police-force-resigns-small-colorado-town/>.

¹¹ See, e.g., Heather MacDonald, *What Killed Tyre Nichols*, *City Journal* (Feb. 19, 2023), <https://www.city-journal.org/what-killed-tyre-nichols> (“The idea that policing is racist, both in its treatment of black suspects and in its hiring of black officers, has led to manpower loss, a lowering of standards, and a drop in proactive enforcement. The resulting increase in crime then puts more downward pressure on hiring standards in order to try to replenish the depleted ranks. Unable to compensate for officer attrition, police departments are left without enough well-trained sergeants and lieutenants to supervise officers who maybe should never have been hired in the first place.”).

¹² See, e.g., Michael McDowell, *Jerry Nadler Thinks the NYPD Budget Should be Cut and He’s Getting Ready if Trump Disputes the Election*, *WEST SIDE RAG* (June 7, 2020), <https://www.westsiderag.com/2020/06/07/jerry-nadler-thinks-the-nypd-budget-should-be-cut-and-hes-getting-ready-if-trump-disputes-the-election>; see also, *Inside Politics: Rep. Jeffries on His Fight to Ban Police Chokeholds & Activists’ Push to Defund Law Enforcement*, (CNN television broadcast Jun. 7, 2020), <https://www.cnn.com/videos/tv/2020/06/07/ip-jeffries-intv.cnn> (“You have to look at [defunding the police] on a case-by-case basis”); see also Cori Bush (@CoriBush), TWITTER (Dec. 1, 2020, 9:03 PM), <https://twitter.com/CoriBush/status/1333955011475365888> (“It’s not a slogan. It’s a mandate for keeping our people alive. Defund the police.”).

¹³ Press Release, Major Cities Chiefs Association, *MCCA Releases Violent Crime Report* (Feb. 10, 2022)

69 cities experienced increases in at least one category of violent crimes in 2021.¹⁴ Democrat-run cities have seen significant increases in homicides. For example:

- In New York City, the NYPD's data shows the overall crime rate rose 11.2 percent in October 2021 compared to October 2020.¹⁵ This jump in crime continues after New York City defunded its police department by \$1 billion.¹⁶
- In Los Angeles County, homicides increased 23 percent from 555 in 2020 to 683 in 2021.¹⁷ The increased homicide rate occurred after Los Angeles defunded its police department by \$150 million.¹⁸
- Minneapolis, Minnesota, saw an increase in homicides in 2021 with 96 reported as opposed to only 84 in 2020.¹⁹ Minneapolis was one of the first cities to defund its police department in 2020 after the death of George Floyd. The Minneapolis City Council voted to refund its police department by \$6.4 million after the increase in crime.²⁰ Moreover, in November 2021, Minneapolis voters rejected a city council ballot proposal to replace their embattled police department.²¹
- Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, saw a nearly 13 percent increase in homicides from 2020 to 2021.²² In 2020, Philadelphia suffered 499 homicides, while 563 were reported in 2021.²³ Philadelphia decided to cut its police budget by \$33 million in 2020.²⁴

¹⁴ Major Cities Chiefs Association, Violent Crime Survey – Agency Totals (2020-2021), <https://majorcitieschiefs.com/wp-content/uploads/2022/02/MCCA-Violent-Crime-Report-2021-and-2020-Year-End.pdf>.

¹⁵ Fox 5 New York Staff, Violent Crime Continues to Surge in NYC, FOX 5 New York (Nov. 3, 2021), <https://www.fox5ny.com/news/violent-crime-continues-to-surge-in-nyc>.

¹⁶ The Official Website of the City of New York, *In the Face of an Economic Crisis, Mayor de Blasio Announces Budget that Prioritizes Safety, Police Reform, Youth Services, and Communities of Color* (Jun. 30, 2020), <https://www1.nyc.gov/office-of-the-mayor/news/487-20/in-face-an-economic-crisis-mayor-de-blasio-budgetprioritizes-safety-police>.

¹⁷ Major Cities Chiefs Association, Violent Crime Survey – Agency Totals (2020-2021), <https://majorcitieschiefs.com/wp-content/uploads/2022/08/MCCA-Violent-Crime-Report-2021-and-2020-Year-End.pdf>

¹⁸ Anabel Munoz, *Los Angeles City Council votes to cut LAPD budget by \$150 million*, ABC 7 (Jul. 2, 2020), <https://abc7.com/defund-the-police-lapd-los-angeles-mayor-eric-garcetti/6289037/>.

¹⁹ *Supra* note 17.

²⁰ Bradford Betz, *Minneapolis Push to Defund Police Backfires After Residents Complain of Slow Response Times, Increase in Crime*, FOX NEWS (February 14, 2021) <https://www.foxnews.com/us/minneapolis-defund-police-backfires-residents-complain-slow-response-times-increase-crime>.

²¹ Joe Walsh, *Minneapolis Votes Down Proposal to Replace its Police Department*, FORBES (Nov. 2, 2021), <https://www.forbes.com/sites/joewalsh/2021/11/02/minneapolis-votes-down-proposal-to-replace-its-police-department/?sh=1a119c4b2fce>.

²² *Supra* note 17.

²³ *Id.*

²⁴ Michael D'Onofrio, *Preliminary Philly budget cuts \$33M in police spending, implements reform*, PENNSYLVANIA CAPITAL STAR (Jun. 18, 2020), <https://www.penncapital-star.com/government-politics/preliminary-philly-budgetcuts-33m-in-police-spending-implements-reform/>.

- Portland, Oregon, also suffered a drastic increase in homicides from 2020 to 2021. In 2020, Portland suffered 53 homicides while enduring 87 in 2021.²⁵ Portland also reported 3,409 aggravated assaults in 2021, an increase from 2,726 in 2020.²⁶ Portland also decided to defund its police department to the tune of \$16 million in 2020.²⁷

Hearings

For the purposes of clause 3(c)(6)(A) of House rule XIII, the following hearing was used to develop H. Con. Res. 40 before the Committee on the Judiciary: “Victims of Violent Crime in Manhattan,” a hearing held on April 17, 2023, before the House Judiciary Committee. The Committee heard testimony from the following witnesses:

- Madeline Brame, Chairwoman of the Victims Rights Reform Council and mother of a homicide victim;
- Jose Alba, Former Manhattan bodega clerk and victim of assault in Manhattan;
- Jennifer Harrison, Founder of Victim’s Rights New York;
- Paul Digiacomo, President of the New York Police Department Detectives’ Endowment Association;
- Barry Borgen, Father of a victim of anti-Semitic attack in Manhattan;
- Robert F. Holden, New York City Council Member;
- Jim Kessler, Executive Vice President for Policy, Third Way; and
- Rebecca Fischer, Executive Director. New Yorkers Against Gun Violence.

During this hearing, held in New York, New York, the Committee heard directly from victims of violent crime in Manhattan. The Committee also heard powerful testimony from the Detectives Endowment Association (DEA) President Paul DiGiacomo on how left-wing policies are harming law enforcement officers. Councilman Robert Holden testified that crime in New York is worse than at any time he can recall.

Committee Consideration

On May 10, 2023, the Committee met in open session and ordered the bill, H.Con.Res. 40, favorably reported by voice vote, a quorum being present.

²⁵ Major Cities Chiefs Association, Violent Crime Survey – Agency Totals (2020-2021), <https://majorcitieschiefs.com/wp-content/uploads/2022/02/MCCA-Violent-Crime-Report-2021-and-2020-Year-End.pdf>.

²⁶ *Id.*

²⁷ *Portland, Ore., Cuts Police Budget by \$16 million, Dissolves Programs*, OANN (Nov. 6, 2020), <https://www.oann.com/portland-votes-against-18m-police-funding-cut/>.

Committee Votes

In compliance with clause 3(b) of House rule XIII, the following roll call votes occurred during the Committee's consideration of H.Con.Res. 40:

1. Vote on Motion to Table [appealing the ruling of the Chair in respect to the germaneness of the Cohen Amendment (#1) to H.Con.Res. 40] – passed 16 ayes to 9 nays
2. Vote on Motion to Table [appealing the ruling of the Chair in respect to the germaneness of the Jayapal Amendment (#2) to H.Con.Res. 40] – passed 20 ayes to 13 nays
3. Vote on Motion to Table [appealing the ruling of the Chair in respect to the germaneness of the Cicilline Amendment (#3) to H.Con.Res. 40] – passed 19 ayes to 11 nays
4. Vote on Motion to Table [appealing the ruling of the Chair in respect to the germaneness of the Cicilline Amendment (#4) to H.Con.Res. 40] – passed 17 ayes to 12 nays
5. Vote on Motion to Table [appealing the ruling of the Chair in respect to the germaneness of the Swalwell Amendment (#5) to H.Con.Res. 40] – passed 12 ayes to 11 nays
6. Vote on Swalwell Amendment (#6) to H.Con.Res. 40 – failed 9 ayes to 12 nays
7. Vote on Motion to Table [appealing the ruling of the Chair in respect to the germaneness of the Jackson Lee Amendment (#7) to H.Con.Res. 40] – passed 11 ayes to 10 nays
8. Vote on Motion to Table [appealing the ruling of the Chair in respect to the germaneness of the Ivey Amendment (#8) to H.Con.Res. 40] – passed 11 ayes to 9 nays
9. Vote on Motion to Table [appealing the ruling of the Chair in respect to the germaneness of the Scanlon Amendment (#9) to H.Con.Res. 40] – passed 13 ayes to 9 nays
10. Vote on Motion to Table [appealing the ruling of the Chair in respect to the germaneness of the Cicilline Amendment (#10) to H.Con.Res. 40] – passed 14 ayes to 9 nays
11. Vote on Schiff Amendment (#11) to H.Con.Res. 40] – failed 12 ayes to 14 nays
12. Vote on Cicilline Amendment (#12) to H.Con.Res. 40 – failed 11 ayes to 14 nays

[INSERT “B” - ROLL CALL VOTE SHEETS]

Committee Oversight Findings

In compliance with clause 3(c)(1) of House rule XIII, the Committee advises that the findings and recommendations of the Committee, based on oversight activities under clause 2(b)(1) of rule X of the Rules of the House of Representatives, are incorporated in the descriptive portions of this report.

New Budget Authority and Tax Expenditures

With respect to the requirements of clause 3(c)(2) of rule XIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives and section 308(a) of the *Congressional Budget Act of 1974* and with respect to the requirements of clause 3(c)(3) of rule XIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives and section 402 of the *Congressional Budget Act of 1974*, the Committee has requested but not

ROLL CALL

Vote on: Motion to table *Appealing the Ruling of the Chair*
Re: Cohen (#1) Amndt germaneness Roll Call #: 5

REPUBLICANS	AYE	NO	PRESENT	DEMOCRATS	AYE	NO	PRESENT
MR. JORDAN (OH) <i>Chairman</i>	✓			MR. NADLER (NY) <i>Ranking Member</i>		✓	
MR. ISSA (CA)	✓			MS. LOFGREN (CA)			
MR. BUCK (CO)	✓			MS. JACKSON LEE (TX)			
MR. GAETZ (FL)	✓			MR. COHEN (TN)		✓	
MR. JOHNSON (LA)	✓			MR. JOHNSON (GA)		✓	
MR. BIGGS (AZ)	✓			MR. SCHIFF (CA)		✓	
MR. McCLINTOCK (CA)	✓			MR. CICILLINE (RI)			
MR. TIFFANY (WI)	✓			MR. SWALWELL (CA)			
MR. MASSIE (KY)				MR. LIEU (CA)			
MR. ROY (TX)				MS. JAYAPAL (WA)		✓	
MR. BISHOP (NC)	✓			MR. CORREA (CA)		✓	
MS. SPARTZ (IN)	✓			MS. SCANLON (PA)			
MR. FITZGERALD (WI)	✓			MR. NEGUSE (CO)			
MR. BENTZ (OR)	✓			MS. McBATH (GA)			
MR. CLINE (VA)				MS. DEAN (PA)		✓	
MR. GOODEN (TX)				MS. ESCOBAR (TX)			
MR. VAN DREW (NJ)	✓			MS. ROSS (NC)		✓	
MR. NEHLS (TX)				MS. BUSH (MO)			
MR. MOORE (AL)	✓			MR. IVEY (MD)		✓	
MR. KILEY (CA)							
MS. HAGEMAN (WY)	✓						
MR. MORAN (TX)							
MS. LEE (FL)	✓						
MR. HUNT (TX)							
MR. FRY (SC)							

Roll Call Totals:

Ayes:

Nays:

Present:

Passed:

Failed:

118th CONGRESS

25-19

ROLL CALL

Vote on:

Motion to table [appealing the Ruling of the Chair Re: Jayapal Amndt (#2) to HConRes 40]

Roll Call #: 60

REPUBLICANS	AYE	NO	PRESENT	DEMOCRATS	AYE	NO	PRESENT
MR. JORDAN (OH) <i>Chairman</i>	✓			MR. NADLER (NY) <i>Ranking Member</i>		✓	
MR. ISSA (CA)	✓			MS. LOFGREN (CA)			
MR. BUCK (CO)	✓			MS. JACKSON LEE (TX)		✓	
MR. GAETZ (FL)	✓			MR. COHEN (TN)		✓	
MR. JOHNSON (LA)	✓			MR. JOHNSON (GA)		✓	
MR. BIGGS (AZ)	✓			MR. SCHIFF (CA)		✓	
MR. McCLINTOCK (CA)	✓			MR. CICILLINE (RI)		✓	
MR. TIFFANY (WI)	✓			MR. SWALWELL (CA)		✓	
MR. MASSIE (KY)				MR. LIEU (CA)			
MR. ROY (TX)				MS. JAYAPAL (WA)		✓	
MR. BISHOP (NC)				MR. CORREA (CA)			
MS. SPARTZ (IN)	✓			MS. SCANLON (PA)		✓	
MR. FITZGERALD (WI)	✓			MR. NEGUSE (CO)			
MR. BENTZ (OR)	✓			MS. McBATH (GA)		✓	
MR. CLINE (VA)	✓			MS. DEAN (PA)		✓	
MR. GOODEN (TX)	✓			MS. ESCOBAR (TX)			
MR. VAN DREW (NJ)	✓			MS. ROSS (NC)		✓	
MR. NEHLS (TX)	✓			MS. BUSH (MO)			
MR. MOORE (AL)	✓			MR. IVEY (MD)		✓	
MR. KILEY (CA)	✓						
MS. HAGEMAN (WY)	✓						
MR. MORAN (TX)							
MS. LEE (FL)							
MR. HUNT (TX)	✓						
MR. FRY (SC)	✓						

Roll Call Totals:

Ayes:

20

Nays:

13

Present:

Passed:

Failed:

ROLL CALL

Vote on: Motion to Table [appealing the Ruling of the Chair re: Cicilline Amndt (#3) to HCon Res 40] Roll Call #: 7

REPUBLICANS	AYE	NO	PRESENT	DEMOCRATS	AYE	NO	PRESENT
MR. JORDAN (OH) <i>Chairman</i>	✓			MR. NADLER (NY) <i>Ranking Member</i>		✓	
MR. ISSA (CA)	✓			MS. LOFGREN (CA)			
MR. BUCK (CO)	✓			MS. JACKSON LEE (TX)		✓	
MR. GAETZ (FL)	✓			MR. COHEN (TN)			
MR. JOHNSON (LA)	✓			MR. JOHNSON (GA)			
MR. BIGGS (AZ)	✓			MR. SCHIFF (CA)		✓	
MR. McCLINTOCK (CA)	✓			MR. CICILLINE (RI)		✓	
MR. TIFFANY (WI)	✓			MR. SWALWELL (CA)		✓	
MR. MASSIE (KY)				MR. LIEU (CA)			
MR. ROY (TX)				MS. JAYAPAL (WA)		✓	
MR. BISHOP (NC)				MR. CORREA (CA)			
MS. SPARTZ (IN)	✓			MS. SCANLON (PA)		✓	
MR. FITZGERALD (WI)	✓			MR. NEGUSE (CO)			
MR. BENTZ (OR)				MS. McBATH (GA)		✓	
MR. CLINE (VA)	✓			MS. DEAN (PA)		✓	
MR. GOODEN (TX)	✓			MS. ESCOBAR (TX)			
MR. VAN DREW (NJ)	✓			MS. ROSS (NC)		✓	
MR. NEHLS (TX)	✓			MS. BUSH (MO)			
MR. MOORE (AL)	✓			MR. IVEY (MD)		✓	
MR. KILEY (CA)	✓						
MS. HAGEMAN (WY)	✓						
MR. MORAN (TX)							
MS. LEE (FL)							
MR. HUNT (TX)	✓						
MR. FRY (SC)	✓						

Roll Call Totals:

Ayes:

19

Nays:

11

Present:

Passed:

X

Failed:

118th CONGRESS

25-19

ROLL CALL

Vote on: Motion to Table (appealing the Ruling of the Chair re: Cicilline Amendment #1 to Hcon Res 403) Roll Call #: 8

REPUBLICANS	AYE	NO	PRESENT	DEMOCRATS	AYE	NO	PRESENT
MR. JORDAN (OH) <i>Chairman</i>	✓			MR. NADLER (NY) <i>Ranking Member</i>		✓	
MR. ISSA (CA)	✓			MS. LOFGREN (CA)			
MR. BUCK (CO)	✓			MS. JACKSON LEE (TX)		✓	
MR. GAETZ (FL)	✓			MR. COHEN (TN)			
MR. JOHNSON (LA)	✓			MR. JOHNSON (GA)		✓	
MR. BIGGS (AZ)				MR. SCHIFF (CA)		✓	
MR. McCLINTOCK (CA)	✓			MR. CICILLINE (RI)		✓	
MR. TIFFANY (WI)	✓			MR. SWALWELL (CA)		✓	
MR. MASSIE (KY)				MR. LIEU (CA)			
MR. ROY (TX)				MS. JAYAPAL (WA)		✓	
MR. BISHOP (NC)				MR. CORREA (CA)			
MS. SPARTZ (IN)	✓			MS. SCANLON (PA)		✓	
MR. FITZGERALD (WI)				MR. NEGUSE (CO)			
MR. BENTZ (OR)				MS. McBATH (GA)		✓	
MR. CLINE (VA)	✓			MS. DEAN (PA)		✓	
MR. GOODEN (TX)	✓			MS. ESCOBAR (TX)		✓	
MR. VAN DREW (NJ)	✓			MS. ROSS (NC)			
MR. NEHLS (TX)	✓			MS. BUSH (MO)			
MR. MOORE (AL)	✓			MR. IVEY (MD)		✓	
MR. KILEY (CA)	✓						
MS. HAGEMAN (WY)	✓						
MR. MORAN (TX)							
MS. LEE (FL)							
MR. HUNT (TX)	✓						
MR. FRY (SC)	✓						

Roll Call Totals:

Ayes:

17

Nays:

12

Present:

Passed:

X

Failed:

118th CONGRESS

25-19

ROLL CALL

Vote on:

Motion to table (appearing the ruling of the Chair re:
Swalwell (#5) Amndt to H Con Res 1040

Roll Call #: 9

REPUBLICANS	AYE	NO	PRESENT	DEMOCRATS	AYE	NO	PRESENT
MR. JORDAN (OH) <i>Chairman</i>	✓			MR. NADLER (NY) <i>Ranking Member</i>		✓	
MR. ISSA (CA)	✓			MS. LOFGREN (CA)			
MR. BUCK (CO)				MS. JACKSON LEE (TX)		✓	
MR. GAETZ (FL)				MR. COHEN (TN)			
MR. JOHNSON (LA)	✓			MR. JOHNSON (GA)		✓	
MR. BIGGS (AZ)				MR. SCHIFF (CA)		✓	
MR. McCLINTOCK (CA)	✓			MR. CICILLINE (RI)		✓	
MR. TIFFANY (WI)	✓			MR. SWALWELL (CA)		✓	
MR. MASSIE (KY)				MR. LIEU (CA)			
MR. ROY (TX)				MS. JAYAPAL (WA)		✓	
MR. BISHOP (NC)				MR. CORREA (CA)			
MS. SPARTZ (IN)	✓			MS. SCANLON (PA)		✓	
MR. FITZGERALD (WI)				MR. NEGUSE (CO)			
MR. BENTZ (OR)				MS. McBATH (GA)		✓	
MR. CLINE (VA)				MS. DEAN (PA)		✓	
MR. GOODEN (TX)	✓			MS. ESCOBAR (TX)			
MR. VAN DREW (NJ)	✓			MS. ROSS (NC)			
MR. NEHLS (TX)				MS. BUSH (MO)			
MR. MOORE (AL)	✓			MR. IVEY (MD)		✓	
MR. KILEY (CA)	✓						
MS. HAGEMAN (WY)	✓						
MR. MORAN (TX)							
MS. LEE (FL)							
MR. HUNT (TX)							
MR. FRY (SC)	✓						

Roll Call Totals:

Ayes:

12

Nays:

11

Present:

Passed:

~~X~~

Failed:

COMMITTEE ON THE JUDICIARY

Date: 9/10/23

118th CONGRESS

25-19

ROLL CALL

Vote on: Swalwell Amndt #6 to HCONRES 40

Roll Call #: 10

REPUBLICANS	AYE	NO	PRESENT	DEMOCRATS	AYE	NO	PRESENT
MR. JORDAN (OH) <i>Chairman</i>		✓		MR. NADLER (NY) <i>Ranking Member</i>	✓		
MR. ISSA (CA)		✓		MS. LOFGREN (CA)			
MR. BUCK (CO)				MS. JACKSON LEE (TX)	✓		
MR. GAETZ (FL)				MR. COHEN (TN)			
MR. JOHNSON (LA)		✓		MR. JOHNSON (GA)	✓		
MR. BIGGS (AZ)				MR. SCHIFF (CA)	✓		
MR. McCLINTOCK (CA)		✓		MR. CICILLINE (RI)	✓		
MR. TIFFANY (WI)		✓		MR. SWALWELL (CA)	✓		
MR. MASSIE (KY)				MR. LIEU (CA)			
MR. ROY (TX)				MS. JAYAPAL (WA)			
MR. BISHOP (NC)				MR. CORREA (CA)			
MS. SPARTZ (IN)		✓		MS. SCANLON (PA)	✓		
MR. FITZGERALD (WI)				MR. NEGUSE (CO)			
MR. BENTZ (OR)				MS. McBATH (GA)	✓		
MR. CLINE (VA)				MS. DEAN (PA)			
MR. GOODEN (TX)		✓		MS. ESCOBAR (TX)			
MR. VAN DREW (NJ)		✓		MS. ROSS (NC)			
MR. NEHLS (TX)				MS. BUSH (MO)			
MR. MOORE (AL)		✓		MR. IVEY (MD)	✓		
MR. KILEY (CA)		✓					
MS. HAGEMAN (WY)		✓					
MR. MORAN (TX)							
MS. LEE (FL)							
MR. HUNT (TX)							
MR. FRY (SC)		✓					

Roll Call Totals:

Ayes: 9

Nays: 12

Present: X

Passed: _____

Failed: _____

118th CONGRESS

25-19

ROLL CALL

Vote on:

Motion to table [appealing the Ruling of the Chair
 Re: Jackson Lee Amndt (#7) to H Con Res 40]

Roll Call #: 11

REPUBLICANS	AYE	NO	PRESENT	DEMOCRATS	AYE	NO	PRESENT
MR. JORDAN (OH) <i>Chairman</i>	✓			MR. NADLER (NY) <i>Ranking Member</i>		✓	
MR. ISSA (CA)	✓			MS. LOFGREN (CA)			
MR. BUCK (CO)				MS. JACKSON LEE (TX)		✓	
MR. GAETZ (FL)				MR. COHEN (TN)			
MR. JOHNSON (LA)	✓			MR. JOHNSON (GA)		✓	
MR. BIGGS (AZ)				MR. SCHIFF (CA)		✓	
MR. McCLINTOCK (CA)	✓			MR. CICILLINE (RI)		✓	
MR. TIFFANY (WI)	✓			MR. SWALWELL (CA)		✓	
MR. MASSIE (KY)				MR. LIEU (CA)			
MR. ROY (TX)				MS. JAYAPAL (WA)			
MR. BISHOP (NC)				MR. CORREA (CA)			
MS. SPARTZ (IN)				MS. SCANLON (PA)		✓	
MR. FITZGERALD (WI)				MR. NEGUSE (CO)			
MR. BENTZ (OR)				MS. McBATH (GA)		✓	
MR. CLINE (VA)	✓			MS. DEAN (PA)			
MR. GOODEN (TX)	✓			MS. ESCOBAR (TX)			
MR. VAN DREW (NJ)	✓			MS. ROSS (NC)		✓	
MR. NEHLS (TX)	✓			MS. BUSH (MO)			
MR. MOORE (AL)	✓			MR. IVEY (MD)		✓	
MR. KILEY (CA)							
MS. HAGEMAN (WY)							
MR. MORAN (TX)							
MS. LEE (FL)							
MR. HUNT (TX)							
MR. FRY (SC)	✓						

Roll Call Totals:

Ayes:

11

Nays:

10

Present:

Passed:

X

Failed:

ROLL CALL

Vote on: Motion to Table (appealing the RULING of the Chair re: Ivey Amndt (#8) to HCON RES 903) Roll Call #: 12

REPUBLICANS	AYE	NO	PRESENT	DEMOCRATS	AYE	NO	PRESENT
MR. JORDAN (OH) <i>Chairman</i>	✓			MR. NADLER (NY) <i>Ranking Member</i>		✓	
MR. ISSA (CA)	✓			MS. LOFGREN (CA)			
MR. BUCK (CO)				MS. JACKSON LEE (TX)		✓	
MR. GAETZ (FL)				MR. COHEN (TN)			
MR. JOHNSON (LA)	✓			MR. JOHNSON (GA)		✓	
MR. BIGGS (AZ)				MR. SCHIFF (CA)		✓	
MR. McCLINTOCK (CA)	✓			MR. CICILLINE (RI)		✓	
MR. TIFFANY (WI)	✓			MR. SWALWELL (CA)			
MR. MASSIE (KY)				MR. LIEU (CA)			
MR. ROY (TX)				MS. JAYAPAL (WA)			
MR. BISHOP (NC)				MR. CORREA (CA)			
MS. SPARTZ (IN)				MS. SCANLON (PA)		✓	
MR. FITZGERALD (WI)				MR. NEGUSE (CO)			
MR. BENTZ (OR)				MS. McBATH (GA)		✓	
MR. CLINE (VA)				MS. DEAN (PA)			
MR. GOODEN (TX)	✓			MS. ESCOBAR (TX)			
MR. VAN DREW (NJ)	✓			MS. ROSS (NC)		✓	
MR. NEHLS (TX)	✓			MS. BUSH (MO)			
MR. MOORE (AL)	✓			MR. IVEY (MD)		✓	
MR. KILEY (CA)							
MS. HAGEMAN (WY)	✓						
MR. MORAN (TX)							
MS. LEE (FL)							
MR. HUNT (TX)							
MR. FRY (SC)	✓						

Roll Call Totals:

Ayes: 11

Nays: 9

Present:

Passed: X

Failed: _____

ROLL CALL

Vote on: Motion to Table [appealing the Ruling of the Chair Re: Scanlon Amndt (#9) to H Con Res 40]

Roll Call #: 13

REPUBLICANS	AYE	NO	PRESENT	DEMOCRATS	AYE	NO	PRESENT
MR. JORDAN (OH) <i>Chairman</i>				MR. NADLER (NY) <i>Ranking Member</i>		✓	
MR. ISSA (CA)	✓			MS. LOFGREN (CA)			
MR. BUCK (CO)	✓			MS. JACKSON LEE (TX)			
MR. GAETZ (FL)	✓			MR. COHEN (TN)			
MR. JOHNSON (LA)	✓			MR. JOHNSON (GA)		✓	
MR. BIGGS (AZ)				MR. SCHIFF (CA)		✓	
MR. McCLINTOCK (CA)				MR. CICILLINE (RI)		✓	
MR. TIFFANY (WI)	✓			MR. SWALWELL (CA)			
MR. MASSIE (KY)				MR. LIEU (CA)			
MR. ROY (TX)				MS. JAYAPAL (WA)			
MR. BISHOP (NC)				MR. CORREA (CA)			
MS. SPARTZ (IN)				MS. SCANLON (PA)		✓	
MR. FITZGERALD (WI)				MR. NEGUSE (CO)			
MR. BENTZ (OR)				MS. McBATH (GA)		✓	
MR. CLINE (VA)	✓			MS. DEAN (PA)			
MR. GOODEN (TX)	✓			MS. ESCOBAR (TX)		✓	
MR. VAN DREW (NJ)	✓			MS. ROSS (NC)		✓	
MR. NEHLS (TX)	✓			MS. BUSH (MO)			
MR. MOORE (AL)	✓			MR. IVEY (MD)		✓	
MR. KILEY (CA)							
MS. HAGEMAN (WY)	✓						
MR. MORAN (TX)							
MS. LEE (FL)							
MR. HUNT (TX)	✓						
MR. FRY (SC)	✓						

Roll Call Totals:

Ayes: 13

Nays: 9

Present:

Passed: X

Failed: _____

ROLL CALL

Vote on: Motion to Table [appealing the Ruling of the Chair Re: Cicilline Amendment (#10) to HconRes 40]

Roll Call #: 14

REPUBLICANS	AYE	NO	PRESENT	DEMOCRATS	AYE	NO	PRESENT
MR. JORDAN (OH) <i>Chairman</i>	✓			MR. NADLER (NY) <i>Ranking Member</i>		✓	
MR. ISSA (CA)	✓			MS. LOFGREN (CA)			
MR. BUCK (CO)	✓			MS. JACKSON LEE (TX)			
MR. GAETZ (FL)				MR. COHEN (TN)			
MR. JOHNSON (LA)	✓			MR. JOHNSON (GA)		✓	
MR. BIGGS (AZ)	✓			MR. SCHIFF (CA)		✓	
MR. McCLINTOCK (CA)				MR. CICILLINE (RI)		✓	
MR. TIFFANY (WI)	✓			MR. SWALWELL (CA)		✓	
MR. MASSIE (KY)				MR. LIEU (CA)			
MR. ROY (TX)				MS. JAYAPAL (WA)			
MR. BISHOP (NC)				MR. CORREA (CA)			
MS. SPARTZ (IN)				MS. SCANLON (PA)			
MR. FITZGERALD (WI)				MR. NEGUSE (CO)			
MR. BENTZ (OR)				MS. McBATH (GA)		✓	
MR. CLINE (VA)	✓			MS. DEAN (PA)			
MR. GOODEN (TX)	✓			MS. ESCOBAR (TX)		✓	
MR. VAN DREW (NJ)	✓			MS. ROSS (NC)		✓	
MR. NEHLS (TX)	✓			MS. BUSH (MO)			
MR. MOORE (AL)	✓			MR. IVEY (MD)		✓	
MR. KILEY (CA)							
MS. HAGEMAN (WY)	✓						
MR. MORAN (TX)							
MS. LEE (FL)							
MR. HUNT (TX)	✓						
MR. FRY (SC)	✓						

Roll Call Totals:

Ayes: 14

Nays: 9

Present:

Passed: X

Failed: _____

COMMITTEE ON THE JUDICIARY

Date: 5/10/23

118th CONGRESS

25-19

ROLL CALL

Vote on: Schiff Amndt (#11) to HconRes 40

Roll Call #: 15

REPUBLICANS	AYE	NO	PRESENT	DEMOCRATS	AYE	NO	PRESENT
MR. JORDAN (OH) <i>Chairman</i>		✓		MR. NADLER (NY) <i>Ranking Member</i>	✓		
MR. ISSA (CA)		✓		MS. LOFGREN (CA)			
MR. BUCK (CO)		✓		MS. JACKSON LEE (TX)	✓		
MR. GAETZ (FL)		✓		MR. COHEN (TN)			
MR. JOHNSON (LA)		✓		MR. JOHNSON (GA)	✓		
MR. BIGGS (AZ)				MR. SCHIFF (CA)	✓		
MR. McCLINTOCK (CA)				MR. CICILLINE (RI)	✓		
MR. TIFFANY (WI)		✓		MR. SWALWELL (CA)	✓		
MR. MASSIE (KY)				MR. LIEU (CA)			
MR. ROY (TX)				MS. JAYAPAL (WA)	✓		
MR. BISHOP (NC)				MR. CORREA (CA)			
MS. SPARTZ (IN)				MS. SCANLON (PA)			
MR. FITZGERALD (WI)				MR. NEGUSE (CO)			
MR. BENTZ (OR)				MS. McBATH (GA)	✓		
MR. CLINE (VA)		✓		MS. DEAN (PA)	✓		
MR. GOODEN (TX)				MS. ESCOBAR (TX)	✓		
MR. VAN DREW (NJ)		✓		MS. ROSS (NC)	✓		
MR. NEHLS (TX)		✓		MS. BUSH (MO)			
MR. MOORE (AL)		✓		MR. IVEY (MD)	✓		
MR. KILEY (CA)		✓					
MS. HAGEMAN (WY)		✓					
MR. MORAN (TX)							
MS. LEE (FL)							
MR. HUNT (TX)		✓					
MR. FRY (SC)		✓					

Roll Call Totals:

Ayes:

Nays:

Present:

Passed: _____

12 14

Failed: X

ROLL CALL

Vote on: Cicilline Amndt # 12 to HRCongRes40

Roll Call #: 16

REPUBLICANS	AYE	NO	PRESENT	DEMOCRATS	AYE	NO	PRESENT
MR. JORDAN (OH) <i>Chairman</i>		✓		MR. NADLER (NY) <i>Ranking Member</i>	✓		
MR. ISSA (CA)		✓		MS. LOFGREN (CA)			
MR. BUCK (CO)		✓		MS. JACKSON LEE (TX)	✓		
MR. GAETZ (FL)		✓		MR. COHEN (TN)			
MR. JOHNSON (LA)		✓		MR. JOHNSON (GA)	✓		
MR. BIGGS (AZ)				MR. SCHIFF (CA)	✓		
MR. McCLINTOCK (CA)		✓		MR. CICILLINE (RI)	✓		
MR. TIFFANY (WI)		✓		MR. SWALWELL (CA)	✓		
MR. MASSIE (KY)				MR. LIEU (CA)			
MR. ROY (TX)				MS. JAYAPAL (WA)	✓		
MR. BISHOP (NC)				MR. CORREA (CA)			
MS. SPARTZ (IN)				MS. SCANLON (PA)			
MR. FITZGERALD (WI)				MR. NEGUSE (CO)			
MR. BENTZ (OR)				MS. McBATH (GA)	✓		
MR. CLINE (VA)		✓		MS. DEAN (PA)	✓		
MR. GOODEN (TX)				MS. ESCOBAR (TX)	✓		
MR. VAN DREW (NJ)		✓		MS. ROSS (NC)			
MR. NEHLS (TX)		✓		MS. BUSH (MO)			
MR. MOORE (AL)		✓		MR. IVEY (MD)	✓		
MR. KILEY (CA)		✓					
MS. HAGEMAN (WY)		✓					
MR. MORAN (TX)							
MS. LEE (FL)							
MR. HUNT (TX)		✓					
MR. FRY (SC)							

Roll Call Totals:

Ayes: 11

Nays: 14

Present: X

Passed: _____

Failed: _____

received a cost estimate for this bill from the Director of the Congressional Budget Office. The Committee has requested but not received from the Director of the Congressional Budget Office a statement as to whether this bill contains any new budget authority, spending authority, credit authority, or an increase or decrease in revenues or tax expenditures. The Chairman of the Committee shall cause such estimate and statement to be printed in the *Congressional Record* upon its receipt by the Committee.

Congressional Budget Office Cost Estimate

With respect to the requirement of clause 3(c)(3) of rule XIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives, a cost estimate provided by the Congressional Budget Office pursuant to section 402 of the *Congressional Budget Act of 1974* was not made available to the Committee in time for the filing of this report. The Chairman of the Committee shall cause such estimate to be printed in the *Congressional Record* upon its receipt by the Committee.

Committee Estimate of Budgetary Effects

With respect to the requirements of clause 3(d)(1) of rule XIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives, the Committee adopts as its own the cost estimate prepared by the Director of the Congressional Budget Office pursuant to section 402 of the *Congressional Budget Act of 1974*.

Duplication of Federal Programs

Pursuant to clause 3(c)(5) of House rule XIII, no provision of H.Con.Res. 40 establishes or reauthorizes a program of the federal government known to be duplicative of another federal program.

Performance Goals and Objectives

The Committee states that pursuant to clause 3(c)(4) of House rule XIII, H.Con.Res. 40 expresses support for local law enforcement officers and condemns efforts to defund or dismantle local law enforcement agencies.

Advisory on Earmarks

In accordance with clause 9 of House rule XXI, H.Con.Res. 40 does not contain any congressional earmarks, limited tax benefits, or limited tariff benefits as defined in clauses 9(d), 9(e), or 9(f) of House Rule XXI.

Federal Mandates Statement

An estimate of federal mandates prepared by the Director of the Congressional Budget office pursuant to section 423 of the *Unfunded Mandates Reform Act* was not made available to

the Committee in time for the filing of this report. The Chairman of the Committee shall cause such estimate to be printed in the *Congressional Record* upon its receipt by the Committee.

Advisory Committee Statement

No advisory committees within the meaning of section 5(b) of the *Federal Advisory Committee Act* were created by this legislation.

Applicability to Legislative Branch

The Committee finds that the legislation does not relate to the terms and conditions of employment or access to public services or accommodations within the meaning of section 102(b)(3) of the *Congressional Accountability Act* (Pub. L. 104-1).

Section-by-Section Analysis

This resolution makes a series of findings about the tireless efforts of local law enforcement officers to protect and serve their communities, highlights challenges that they face from rising crime, and condemns divisive and dangerous rhetoric to defund the police.

The resolution resolves that Congress recognizes and appreciates local law enforcement officers and condemns calls to defund, disband, dismantle, or abolish the police.

Minority Views

[INSERT “C” – MINORITY VIEWS]